- A. I felt threatened by it because he was asking us
- for money in the nature of extortion.
- Q. Okay. What is extortion -- what does the word
- "extortion" mean to you?
- A. Trying to get money from somebody under false
- 6 pretenses.
- Q. Okay. In this letter, he says that he started a
- business and that they're doing very well and that he
- 9 needs capital and some business advice.
- Do you know whether the pretenses he has set out
- in this letter, Exhibit 10, were true or false?
- 12 A. I would assume that they were false.
- Q. Do you know that they were?
- 14 A. I do not.
- Q. Okay. So if they were not false, it would not
- have been extortion in your mind?
- A. It was extortion in my mind.
- Q. Okay. But you never checked out to see whether
- any of these allegations were true; is that right?
- 20 A. No, I did not.
- Q. You just assumed they were false?
- 22 A. I did.
- Q. And you just assumed when somebody asked for
- 24 money under false pretenses it was extortion? You
- 25 considered he was extorting or perhaps extorting your

- husband?
- A. That is correct.
- Q. Okay. I know you're not a lawyer. But do you
- 4 happen to know by any chance what the legal definition
- of extortion is?
- 6 A. No.
- Q. Okay. Okay. The next category here is
- 8 again -- and we're talking about beginning 1987,
- things that Mr. Bradbury, you say, he did. It says
- 10 calling. I assume that means calling on the
- 11 telephone.
- Did Mr. Bradbury ever call you on the telephone?
- A. Not to my knowledge.
- Q. Have you ever spoken to Mr. Bradbury?
- 15 A. No.
- Q. Other than -- he's sitting here today. Other
- than seeing him picketing your house that one time,
- have you ever, to your knowledge, seen him since?
- 19 A. No.
- Q. Okay. Do you have any information that he ever
- 21 placed a phone call to your husband?
- A. I've been told that, yes.
- Q. Who told you?
- A. My husband.
- Q. Okay. What did he tell you?

- A. Exactly what you just said.
- Q. That he called?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. Did he say what the nature of the call was?
- 5 A. No.
- Q. Did he say whether he took the call?
- 7 A. No.
- Q. Do you know whether he took the call?
- 9 A. I do not.
- 10 Q. Do you know how many times Mr. Bradbury called?
- 11 A. I do not.
- 12 Q. How many times has your husband told you that
- Mr. Bradbury called?
- 14 A. I do not recall.
- Q. Okay. And then it says stalking the Semblers.
- What do you mean by "stalking"?
- 17 A. The legal definition of stalking, being
- followed, being harassed, lurking around my home. I
- 19 think that's stalking.
- Q. Okay. Now, in 1996, you didn't know whether he
- was, as you say in your words, lurking around your
- 22 home; is that right?
- A. No. I was not aware of that.
- Q. So that wouldn't count -- I mean, that component
- of stalking would not have been on your radar in 1996;

- is that right?
- A. That is correct.
- Q. It then mentions your children. And you've
- 4 mentioned an incident in the parking lot with, I
- 5 think, one of your children?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 O. Which one was that?
- A. As I said before, it was my son Gregory.
- 9 Q. Any other of your children?
- 10 A. My daughter-in-law.
- Q. Okay. Would that be Gregory's wife?
- 12 A. That is correct.
- Q. Was she present with Gregory?
- 14 A. That is correct.
- Q. So this is the parking lot incident?
- 16 A. (Deponent nods affirmatively.)
- Q. Are you aware of any other incidents involving
- your daughter-in-law, Gregory's wife?
- 19 A. No.
- Q. How about any of your other children?
- 21 A. Not to my knowledge.
- Q. All right. Okay. Then paragraph 7 says, "So
- 23 notorious was this campaign in 1988, when Bradbury was
- 24 arrested and charged with burglary, a condition of his
- release was a court order that he not approach or go

- near the Semblers's home their place of business or
- their families." And then there's a footnote that
- 3 gives the case number of that case.
- Were you familiar with that case back in '88?
- 5 A. I knew that it had occurred, yes.
- Q. What was your understanding of what Mr. Bradbury
- did to get arrested?
- 8 A. He broke into the building, Straight building.
- 9 Q. Okay. And Straight is a separate entity. You
- are not Straight. Straight was a corporation. Is
- 11 that correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So do you consider his acting against
- Straight acting against you personally as well?
- 15 A. Yes, I do.
- 16 Q. Why is that?
- A. Because of our sponsorship of the program.
- Q. Okay. So do you consider criticism of Straight
- 19 criticism of you personally?
- 20 A. When it's false, yes.
- Q. Okay. Are you aware of an individual by the
- 22 name of Arnold S. Trebach?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And who is he?
- A. I believe he's a retired professor from

- Washington University.
- Q. American University?
- A. American University.
- Q. He's -- would you characterize him as a critic?
- 5 A. As a what?
- 6 Q. As a critic of Straight?
- A. Possibly.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall an incident in Australia
- where he got up and gave a speech?
- 10 A. Yes, I do recall it.
- Q. What do you recall about that?
- 12 A. It was a meeting in Melbourne, Australia. He
- was there.
- Q. Okay. And did he give some kind of a speech or
- presentation at that meeting?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 0. Okay. And was he critical of what he calls
- 18 tough love programs such as Straight in his remarks?
- 19 A. I don't recall.
- Q. All right. He mentions that a Dr. McDonald was
- 21 also there?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you recall that?
- 24 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Who is Dr. McDonald?

- A. He is a retired pedeiatrician.
- Q. Does he -- did he have any involvement with
- 3 Straight?
- ⁴ A. Yes.
- 5 O. What was that?
- 6 A. That's confidential.
- Q. It's confidential. Why is it confidential?
- 8 A. Because the anonymity part of the program.
- 9 Q. Okay. This is because any records that get
- generated by participants of the program need to be
- 11 kept confidential?
- 12 A. To my knowledge.
- Q. Okay. Is there a -- he apparently, at least
- according to Mr. Trebach, had some fairly harsh
- 15 criticism of Mr. Trebach's position and defended
- basically the policies that Straight endorses and was
- very harsh in his comments according to Mr. Trebach.
- Do you recall that?
- 19 A. Yes, I do.
- 20 Q. Was -- when he made his comments, did he make it
- 21 clear that he had some affiliation with Straight?
- 22 A. I don't recall. It was a very long time ago.
- Q. What I'm getting at really is if he had some
- 24 position without disclosing any confidential
- 25 communications he may have had with people, I mean,

- did he hold some title or some --
- 2 A. Who?
- 3 O. Dr. McDonald.
- A. A title?
- 9 Q. Yeah, title, position; supervisor,
- o vice-president. I don't know. I mean --
- A. Would you clarify that, please?
- O. Yes, ma'am. You said that his involvement in
- 9 Straight is confidential. And I'm trying to figure
- out if the involvement itself is confidential or if
- what he did because of his involvement with
- 12 participants was confidential.
- A. I can't answer that question. I don't
- 14 understand it.
- Q. Okay. Mr. Trebach goes on to say that your
- husband was the keynote speaker at this dinner.
- MR. ENGLANDER: Excuse me. Just so I
- understand, you just prefaced your question by, "He
- goes on to say that..." Are you reading from
- something you want to show the witness or me?
- MR. MCGOWAN: Sure. Be happy to.
- MR. ENGLANDER: You want this as an exhibit?
- MR. MCGOWAN: Let's make it one for the record.
- MS. CARNESOLTAS: What exhibit number are we up
- 25 to?

- MR. MCGOWAN: Five.
- 2 (THEREUPON, Exhibit No. 5 is marked for
- identification.)
- 4 BY MR. MCGOWAN:
- O. We've now marked this as Exhibit 5.
- MR. ENGLANDER: May I see it, please?
- MR. MCGOWAN: Of course.
- MR. ENGLANDER: (Peruses the exhibit.)
- THE DEPONENT: (Deponent peruses the exhibit.)
- MR. ENGLANDER: Do you have a question about
- 11 that?
- MR. MCGOWAN: Yeah, I do. Mrs. Sembler is
- 13 reading it.
- MR. ENGLANDER: You want her to read the whole
- 15 thing?
- MR. MCGOWAN: No, unless she wants to.
- MR. ENGLANDER: Well, if you're going to ask her
- 18 questions about it.
- MR. MCGOWAN: Go ahead and let her. I'm going
- 20 to call my office. I'll take five minutes.
- MR. ENGLANDER: So that she has an opportunity
- 22 to read it.
- MR. MCGOWAN: By the way, Mrs. Sembler, I forgot
- to say this early on. Any time you need to take a
- 25 break, just say so.

- Let's take five minutes.
- 2 (THEREUPON, a recess was had.)
- MR. MCGOWAN: Mrs. Sembler, while we were on
- break, did you have an opportunity to look through
- 5 Exhibit 5?
- 6 A. Yes.
- O. Does that refresh your memory as to what may or
- 8 may not have gone on that evening?
- A. Somewhat, yes.
- 10 Q. Okay. Is this summary fairly accurate in your
- 11 opinion?
- 12 A. No.
- Q. What is inaccurate about it?
- A. What is inaccurate about it is the tone, the
- conclusion in his statement; that when he says that we
- are embarrassments. I don't know why he would say
- that. I've never had anything to do with the man.
- And I was just in the audience, so --
- 19 Q. Right. That's his opinion whether --
- A. Well, my opinion is that it's false.
- Q. Okay. Do you consider this a threat?
- 22 A. I do.
- Q. Okay. Because he calls you an embarrassment?
- 24 A. I do.
- Q. You did consider that a threat?

- A. I certainly do.
- Q. Okay. He calls your husband "gracious" I think
- 3 in this?
- A. Yes. I noticed that.
- Q. I would assume you agree with that?
- A. Yes. My husband is gracious.
- Q. He also makes a comment that some Dutch policy
- 8 official referred to Straight participants as Hitler
- Jungen, which I assume means youth.
- Did you notice that in there?
- A. No, I didn't.
- Q. It's in the first paragraph on page 3.
- MR. ENGLANDER: Let me interpose an objection.
- I mean, this is not even reasonably calculated to lead
- 15 to discovery.
- MR. MCGOWAN: Well, you know this whole issue
- was brought up in the Bradbury deposition. And
- there's been suggestions that he is driven by some
- 19 sort of agenda beyond Straight.
- MR. ENGLANDER: Not by us, maybe by
- Mr. Bradbury.
- MR. MCGOWAN: No. No. You specifically asked
- him -- you specifically asked him if he sought
- 24 treatment at the Camp Jewish Center because of some
- connection with his protesting the Holocaust. You