- Did you notice that in the ad?
- ² A. I did.
- Q. And what did you take that to mean?
- 4 A. Very offensive.
- Q. What did you take it to mean?
- 6 A. Threatening.
- Q. How? How were you threatened?
- 8 A. Because of the implication.
- 9 Q. What would the implication be?
- 10 A. That the device was used on me. I find that
- very threatening, obnoxious.
- 12 O. You find it obnoxious?
- A. Outrageous.
- Q. Okay. That you and your husband would have
- 15 conjugal relations, you find that outrageous?
- MR. ENGLANDER: Objection. That's not what she
- 17 answered.
- THE DEPONENT: That's none of your business.
- 19 BY MR. MCGOWAN:
- Q. I'm trying to find out what is outrageous?
- A. What is outrageous? That's my private life, and
- 22 that has nothing to do with anybody at all.
- Q. Okay. And you think that "Betty FAC" somehow
- has something to do with your private life?
- 25 A. I certainly do.

- Q. Okay. I'm going to show you -- we've got a 6.
- This is 7.
- 3 (THEREUPON, Exhibit No. 7 is marked for
- 4 identification.)
- MR. ENGLANDER: (Peruses the exhibit.)
- 6 BY MR. MCGOWAN:
- Q. This is purportedly some type of a demonstration
- in Italy, and -- I'm being told something different.
- 9 This is a demonstration that was in Washington.
- I'll represent to you that it was. It's exhibit 7. I
- don't know if you've ever seen this picture before or
- 12 not.
- 13 A. (Deponent peruses the exhibit.)
- MR. ENGLANDER: Is your question you don't know,
- or is the question has she seen it before?
- BY MR. MCGOWAN: Question is have you --
- A. No. I don't think I ever have.
- Q. Do you find anything offensive of that?
- 19 A. Totally.
- Q. What's that?
- A. The implication of what's on the signs. I
- 22 consider it very threatening and false.
- Q. Okay. What's threatening about it?
- A. Threatening about it because it's lies.
- 25 Q. All right. So --

- A. Why would they be threatening us?
- Q. Where are you being threatened in this?
- 3 A. The implication is that we're being threatened,
- 4 sir. That is a form of harassment. It's very
- 5 terrifying to me because it is false.
- Q. There's a sign here that says "DFAF BS Must
- End." Do you believe that that's a double ontography
- 8 of your initials?
- 9 A. I do.
- 10 Q. Let me show you exhibit A8.
- MR. ENGLANDER: Do you have another 7 for us?
- MR. MCGOWAN: I don't. But I'll try to get one.
- 13 I may have one back at the office.
- 14 (THEREUPON, Exhibit No. 8 is marked for
- identification.)
- MR. MCGOWAN: This is 8.
- 17 BY MR. MCGOWAN:
- Q. I'll represent to you this is the Uhurus
- demonstrating out near your house.
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you recall that?
- 22 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Okay. Were you threatened by -- did you feel
- 24 threatened by that?
- 25 A. Absolutely.

- Q. Okay. They've actually committed acts of
- violence, I think. Haven't they burned things down?
- 3 A. I wouldn't be surprised.
- Q. Have you ever heard that they used violence to
- 5 pursue their agenda?
- A. I don't know much about the Uhurus. And I have
- no idea why they would come in front of my house. But
- 8 I consider it very threatening, very frightening and
- 9 unacceptable.
- Q. Did it cause you emotional distress?
- A. Absolutley. And I'm still emotionally
- 12 distressed by it.
- 13 Q. By the Uhurus?
- 14 A. By the whole thing because this was generated by
- Richard Bradbury, in my opinion. And I think it's
- very threatening. I'm very frightened by it. And my
- family is frightened by it.
- 18 Q. You think Richard Bradbury has something to do
- with the Uhurus coming to your house?
- 20 A. I do.
- Q. What evidence do you have?
- 22 A. I don't have hard evidence. But I feel it
- 23 because it's a pattern of harrassment, stalking, of
- 24 demonstraighting against me and coming to my home. I
- 25 feel very threatened. I feel invaded. And I'm very

- frightened by it.
- Q. All right. But just to be clear, you feel
- 3 Richard Bradbury has something to do with the Uhurus
- 4 doing this?
- 5 A. I do.
- 6 Q. But you have no evidence of that?
- A. I told you. That's the way I feel about it.
- 8 And I'm very frightened by it.
- 9 Q. But you have no evidence?
- 10 A. I said I feel that way.
- 11 O. I understand that but you have no evidence?
- 12 A. I said I feel that way.
- MR. ENGLANDER: She's answered the question.
- MR. MCGOWAN: It's a yes or no question.
- MR. ENGLANDER: You can answer the question.
- 16 THE DEPONENT: Yes.
- 17 BY MR. MCGOWAN:
- Q. Question is do you have evidence?
- MR. ENGLANDER: Why don't you explain to her
- 20 what evidence is?
- 21 BY MR. MCGOWAN:
- Q. Do you have any document, photograph?
- 23 A. I do not.
- Q. Information, even hearsay that Richard Bradbury
- had something to do with the Uhurus coming out and

- demonstrating in front of your house?
- A. Hearsay, yes.
- Q. What's the hearsay?
- A. The hearsay was this anti-group that he's
- 5 conjured up has been in cahoots with the Uhurus. They
- 6 came to my house together. And I find it very
- threatening. I'm very frightened by it.
- 8 Q. Bradbury came with the Uhurus?
- 9 A. I don't know if he did or not.
- Q. When you say, "They came to my house together,"
- what people?
- 12 A. As far as I know, some of those people came with
- 13 them.
- Q. What people?
- A. Supposedly Straight victims of some sort.
- 16 Q. There's been a lot in the press lately about
- demonstrating down in the Bay Walk, anti-war
- demonstrations. Do you consider that threatening?
- 19 A. Very.
- Q. You don't like dissent very much, do you?
- A. I'm sorry?
- 22 Q. You don't like dissent very much, do you?
- 23 A. I don't understand your question.
- Q. Do you know what dissent is?
- 25 A. I do.

- Q. It's like disagreement protected by the first
- amendment. You find the anti-war demonstrations
- 3 threatening?
- 4 A. No, not --
- 5 Q. At Bay Walk?
- A. Not in the pure sense, no.
- Q. So you don't consider those demonstrations a
- 8 threat at Bay Walk?
- 9 A. Would you mind defining "threat," to whom?
- 10 Q. Well, you've been using the word "threat" all
- 11 morning. Do you find it as a threat to you?
- A. Not the anti-war people no, not as a direct
- 13 threat to me.
- Q. An indirect threat?
- A. No, not to me directly or indirectly.
- 0. Okay. You've alleged in your -- let's go back
- again to the pump. And I'm again going back to
- Exhibit 3A. It says plainly put, "Mr. Bradbury, it
- would be our intention to have you become the prey and
- 20 not the hunter."
- Did you approve that language when it was put
- 22 into the letter?
- A. I don't recall preapproving it. But I do
- 24 approve it, yes.
- Q. Do you know what "prey" means, P-R-E-Y?

- A. Yes, I do.
- Q. What is it?
- 3 A. It -- to follow someone.
- 4 O. To follow? Not follow and kill?
- 5 A. No.
- Q. Okay. In the first paragraph of the July 10th
- letter, Exhibit 3A, there's a reference to the
- advertisement in The Times on May 3rd. And the term
- "medical device" is used in the letter.
- Do you see that?
- A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Okay. To your knowledge, was there anything in
- writing prior to that letter that Mr. Bradbury
- 14 generated and called the pump a medical device?
- A. I can't really answer that totally. But it is a
- medical device. It is recognized by anybody as such.
- And advertizing it, would, I would think, confirm that
- fact that he knew it was a medical device.
- Q. Okay. My question is did Mr. Bradbury, to your
- 20 knowledge, ever use the words "medical device"?
- A. I've never spoken to Mr. Bradbury. I don't know
- 22 the answer to your question.
- 23 Q. To your knowledge, was there any document
- generated by Mr. Bradbury which called the pump a
- 25 medical device prior to this letter?

- A. What does the advertisement say? Let's look at
- the blow up of it.
- Q. Yeah, the blow up.
- A. Well, that's a suspicious way of looking at it.
- Q. What do you mean? I don't understand the
- 6 answer.
- A. Well, there wouldn't be any reason for him to
- 8 try to embarrass us, frighten us and harass us if it
- 9 was just an ordinary pump, so he knew what it was.
- Q. But he doesn't use that word, right?
- A. He didn't have to. He knew what it was, and he
- knew what he was doing. He was frightening us, and he
- 13 certainly did.
- Q. Okay. Why did it frighten you?
- A. Because he had to obtain it by stalking us,
- harassing us and coming around our home for ten years.
- I feel that that was a violation of my rights. I feel
- that it was a violation of my home. It is very
- frightening to me. And I am very frightened by it.
- Q. You brought an action for intentional infliction
- of emotional distress?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. And you say that one of the aspects of that, I
- would assume, is this fear that you're expressing?
- 25 A. That's correct.

- 1 O. Is that correct?
- ² A. Yes.
- Q. Have you done anything to mitigate this fear?
- 4 A. Such as?
- 5 Q. Anything. You've got an injunction?
- 6 A. Yes.
- Q. And to your knowledge, has Mr. Bradbury complied
- 8 with it? Does he stay away as far as you know?
- A. As far as I know.
- 10 Q. He's not contacted you for several years since
- the injunction certainly?
- 12 A. What is the date of that letter for the money?
- 13 Q. The money -- July 10th, 2003.
- 14 A. So your question is what?
- Q. Since then, he's not, to your knowledge, made
- 16 any contact?
- 17 A. No. He has not violated the injunction to my
- 18 knowledge.
- 19 Q. To the best of your knowledge, okay. Has that
- 20 eased your fear?
- A. Absolutely not.
- Q. Okay. Have you talked to anybody about this
- 23 fear?
- A. Yes, I have.
- 25 Q. Okay. Who?

- A. I've talked to my attorney about it.
- Q. Okay.
- 3 A. My husband.
- Q. Okay. Who else?
- 5 A. My children.
- Q. Okay. What have you told your children about
- it; your fear?
- A. That I thought that they should be very
- 9 cautious.
- Q. Like for their own physical safety?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Have they taken you -- or have they taken any
- extraordinary measures: Body guards, alarm systems,
- 14 anything like that?
- A. We all have alarm systems, yes.
- Q. Have you had them all along used as part of --
- A. Yes, some of them.
- Q. Okay. Since the exposure of the medical device
- 19 came about, have you taken additional precautions for
- your own security?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 O. What would that be?
- 23 A. When I have been informed of a demonstration, I
- have had people come and watch the demonstrators
- including police and the sheriff's department.

- Q. Okay. Have there been demonstrationes at your
- house since July 2003?
- 3 A. Let's see --
- Q. There was the Uhurus, I think.
- 5 A. There was, yes, in 2004.
- Q. Other than that, have there been any?
- A. I wouldn't know. I was living in Italy at the
- 8 time, but I do know of that one.
- Q. Do you think if whether you were in Italy or
- here if there had been, somebody would have told you
- if you had help in the house?
- MR. ENGLANDER: What's the question?
- MR. MCGOWAN: I'm trying to determine if she has
- knowledge of other demonstrations. My question is had
- there been one, does she think the help in her house
- would have notified her.
- MR. ENGLANDER: Other than Exhibit A?
- MR. MCGOWAN: Yeah, because she said she knows
- 19 that the Uhurus are out there.
- MR. ENGLANDER: Exhibit A aren't the Uhurus.
- 21 BY MR. MCGOWAN:
- Q. Okay whoever that was, other than that
- 23 demonstration.
- A. So what?
- Q. While you were in Italy, did anybody ever tell